1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	
8	FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	LORINDA REICHERT,
11	Plaintiff, No. C 11-03592 WHA
12	v.
13	TIME INC., ADMINISTRATIVE CASE MANAGEMENT ORDER COMMITTEE OF THE TIME WARNER AND REFERENCE TO ADR
14	PENSION PLAN, and FMR LLC, UNIT FOR MEDIATION
15	Defendants.
16	
17	After a case management conference, the Court enters the following order pursuant to
18	Rule 16 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("FRCP") and Civil Local Rule 16-10:
19	1. All initial disclosures under FRCP 26 must be completed by NOVEMBER 30, 2011 ,
20	on pain of preclusion under FRCP 37(c), including full and faithful compliance with
21	FRCP 26(a)(1)(A)(iii).
22	2. Leave to add any new parties or pleading amendments must be filed by MARCH 8, 2012 .
23	
24	3. The non-expert discovery cut-off date shall be JUNE 30, 2012 .
25	4. The last date for designation of expert testimony and disclosure of full expert reports
26	under FRCP 26(a)(2) as to any issue on which a party has the burden of proof
27	("opening reports") shall be June 30, 2012. Within FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS of said
28	deadline, all other parties must disclose any expert reports on the same issue

("opposition reports"). Within SEVEN CALENDAR DAYS thereafter, the party with
the burden of proof must disclose any reply reports rebutting specific material in
opposition reports. Reply reports must be limited to true rebuttal and should be very
brief. They should not add new material that should have been placed in the opening
report and the reply material will ordinarily be reserved for the rebuttal or sur-rebuttal
phase of the trial. If the party with the burden of proof neglects to make a timely
disclosure, the other side, if it wishes to put in expert evidence on the same issue
anyway, must disclose its expert report within the fourteen-day period. In that event, the
party with the burden of proof on the issue may then file a reply expert report within the
seven-day period, subject to possible exclusion for "sandbagging" and, at all events, any
such reply material may be presented at trial only after, if at all, the other side actually
presents expert testimony to which the reply is responsive. The cutoff for all expert
discovery shall be FOURTEEN CALENDAR DAYS after the deadline for reply reports.
In aid of preparing an opposition or reply report, a responding party may depose the
adverse expert sufficiently before the deadline for the opposition or reply report so as to
use the testimony in preparing the response. Experts must make themselves readily
available for such depositions. Alternatively, the responding party can elect to depose
the expert later in the expert-discovery period. An expert, however, may be deposed
only once unless the expert is used for different opening and/or opposition reports, in
which case the expert may be deposed independently on the subject matter of each
report. At least 28 CALENDAR DAYS before the due date for opening reports, each party
shall serve a list of issues on which it will offer any expert testimony in its case-in-chief
(including from non-retained experts). This is so that all parties will be timely able to
obtain counter-experts on the listed issues and to facilitate the timely completeness of all
expert reports. Failure to so disclose may result in preclusion.

5. As to damages studies, the cut-off date for *past damages* will be as of the expert report (or such earlier date as the expert may select). In addition, the experts may try to project *future damages* (*i.e.*, after the cut-off date) if the substantive standards for future

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

damages can be met. With timely leave of Court or by written stipulation, the experts may update their reports (with supplemental reports) to a date closer to the time of trial.

6. At trial, the direct testimony of experts will be limited to the matters disclosed in their reports. Omitted material may not ordinarily be added on direct examination.

This means the reports must be complete and sufficiently detailed.

- Illustrative animations, diagrams, charts and models may be used on direct examination only if they were part of the expert's report, with the exception of simple drawings and tabulations that plainly illustrate what is already in the report, which can be drawn by the witness at trial or otherwise shown to the jury. If cross-examination fairly opens the door, however, an expert may go beyond the written report on cross-examination and/or redirect examination. By written stipulation, of course, all sides may relax these requirements. For trial, an expert must learn and testify to the full amount of billing and unbilled time by him or his firm on the engagement.
- To head off a recurring problem, experts lacking percipient knowledge should avoid 7. vouching for the credibility of witnesses, i.e., whose version of the facts in dispute is correct. This means that they may not, for example, testify that based upon a review of fact depositions and other material supplied by counsel, a police officer did (or did not) violate standards. Rather, the expert should be asked for his or her opinion based explicitly — upon an assumed fact scenario. This will make clear that the witness is not attempting to make credibility and fact findings and thereby to invade the province of the jury. Of course, a qualified expert can testify to relevant customs, usages, practices, recognized standards of conduct, and other specialized matters beyond the ken of a lay jury. This subject is addressed further in the trial guidelines referenced in paragraph 15 below.
- 8. A large-scale criminal trial before the undersigned judge commenced on October 24, 2011, and is expected to go through the beginning of 2012. Because this trial will consume an unusual amount of time and resources, motions in civil cases will be considered and heard only on a selective basis. Except for discovery disputes, no

motions may be filed in this action without prior written approval. A party seeking
approval to file a motion must file a précis that summarizes the essence of the motion
and explains its urgency. Any party opposing approval to file based on the précis may
file an opposition by noon on the second business day following the day on which the
précis was filed. Both the précis and the opposition must be five pages or less,
double-spaced, and may not contain footnotes or attachments. After considering the
précis and the opposition (if any), the Court will either grant or deny leave to file the
motion. If leave is granted, a briefing schedule and hearing date will be set. Discovery
disputes will continue to be heard in the manner described at paragraph 25 of the
supplemental order to the order setting the initial case management conference.

- 9. The last date to file dispositive motions shall be **August 2, 2012**. No dispositive motions shall be heard more than 35 days *after* this deadline, *i.e.*, if any party waits until the last day to file, then the parties must adhere to the 35-day track in order to avoid pressure on the trial date.
- 10. The **FINAL PRETRIAL CONFERENCE** shall be at **2:00 P.M.** on **SEPTEMBER 10, 2012**. Although the Court encourages argument and participation by younger attorneys, lead trial counsel must attend the final pretrial conference. For the form of submissions for the final pretrial conference and trial, please see paragraph 15 below.
- 11. A BENCH TRIAL shall begin on SEPTEMBER 17, 2012, at 7:30 A.M., in Courtroom 9, 19th Floor, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, California, 94102. The trial schedule and time limits shall be set at the final pretrial conference. Although almost all trials proceed on the date scheduled, it may be necessary on occasion for a case to trail, meaning the trial may commence a few days or even a few weeks after the date stated above, due to calendar congestion and the need to give priority to criminal trials. Counsel and the parties should plan accordingly, including advising witnesses.
- 12. Counsel may not stipulate around the foregoing dates without Court approval.
- 13. While the Court encourages the parties to engage in settlement discussions, please do not ask for any extensions on the ground of settlement discussions or on the ground that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the parties experienced delays in scheduling settlement conferences, mediation or ENE. The parties should proceed to prepare their cases for trial. No continuance (even if stipulated) shall be granted on the ground of incomplete preparation without competent and detailed declarations setting forth good cause.

- 14. To avoid any misunderstanding with respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, the Court wishes to emphasize that all filings and appearances must be made — on pain of dismissal, default or other sanction — unless and until a dismissal fully resolving the case is received. It will not be enough to inform the clerk that a settlement in principle has been reached or to lodge a partially executed settlement agreement or to lodge a fully executed agreement (or dismissal) that resolves less than the entire case. Where, however, a fully-executed settlement agreement clearly and fully disposing of the entire case is lodged reasonably in advance of the pretrial conference or trial and only a ministerial act remains, the Court will arrange a telephone conference to work out an alternate procedure pending a formal dismissal.
- 15. If you have not already done so, please read and follow the "Supplemental Order to Order Setting Initial Case Management Conference in Civil Cases Before Judge William Alsup" and other orders issued by the Clerk's office when this action was commenced. Among other things, the supplemental order explains when submissions are to go to the Clerk's Office (the general rule) versus when submissions may go directly to chambers (rarely). With respect to the final pretrial conference and trial, please read and follow the "Guidelines For Trial and Final Pretrial Conference in Civil Bench Cases Before The Honorable William Alsup." All orders and guidelines referenced in the paragraph are available on the district court's website at http://www.cand.uscourts.gov. The website also includes other guidelines for attorney's fees motions and the necessary form of attorney time records for cases before Judge Alsup. If you do not have access to the Internet, you may contact Deputy Clerk Dawn K. Toland at (415) 522-2020 to learn how to pick up a hard copy.

Case3:11-cv-03592-WHA Document33 Filed11/18/11 Page6 of 6

For the Northern District of California

United States District Court

16.	All pretrial disclosures under FRCP 26(a)(3) and objections required by FRCP 26(a)(3)
	must be made on the schedule established by said rule.

17. This matter is hereby **REFERRED** to the **ADR UNIT** for **MEDIATION**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 18, 2011.

WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE